



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/363,339	07/29/1999	TIMOTHY M. YOUNG	TN128	8021

7590 01/31/2006

STEVEN B SAMUELS
UNISYS CORPORATION
TOWNSHIP LINE & UNION MEETING ROADS
BLUE BELL, PA 19424

EXAMINER

SING, SIMON P

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2645

DATE MAILED: 01/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

09/363,339

07/29/1999

Young

TN 128

EXAMINER

Simon Sing

ART UNIT

PAPER

2645

51020

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The reply brief filed on 01/03/2005 has been entered and considered. As stated before, Sattar teaches the claimed invention of call flow functions (answering an incoming call, prompting a caller to entering a DTMF of his/her choice, and executing follow-up actions based on the received DTMF, such as playing a voice message to the caller or deleting a voice message, etc.), codes (for executing functions such as playing a prompt or voice message, deleting or save a voice message, etc.), and a customized list (DTMF identifiers to corresponding functions) which comprises table, i.e. a plurality of DTMF identifiers to a plurality of corresponding functions, and a customer is able to change a DTMF identifiers to a particular corresponding function (see Sattar, column 9, lines 52-61; column 10, lines 57-68; column 13, lines 26-64; column 26, lines 54-62; column 28, lines 18-39). Examiner has no further comment since the arguments presented in the reply are the same as the Appeal Brief. The application has been forwarded to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for decision on the appeal.

Simon Sing
10/20/2005

FAN TSANG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600